

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎಫ್. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಫಾರೆಸ್ಟನ್ನು ಉಳಿಸಿ ಕೊಂಡು ಬರತಕ್ಕದ್ದು ನಹ ಅತ್ಯವಶ್ಯವಾಗಿದೆ. ಫಾರೆಸ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ವ್ಯವಸಾಯಕ್ಕೆ ಹಿತವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಅದುದರಿಂದ ಕೆಲವೊಂದು ಪರ್ಸೆಂಟೇಜ್ ಫಾರೆಸ್ಟನ್ನು ಇದರೇಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಈ ರೀತಿ ಇಬ್ಬು ಉಳಿದ ದ್ದನ್ನು ಕೊಡಲಾಗುವುದು.

Cauvery water for the Supply of Drinking Water to Bangalore City.

*Q.—53. Sri V. P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU (Cubbonpet).—

Will the Government be pleased to state —

(a) whether they have any proposal or scheme for exploring the Cauvery waters for supply of drinking water to the City of Bangalore, and if so, the steps taken in this regard ;

(b) the estimated cost of such a scheme ;

(c) whether such a scheme has been included or is likely to be included in the Third Five-Year Plan for the State ;

(d) when such a scheme will approximately be started and when is it likely to be completed ?

A.—Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA (Minister for Public Works and Electricity).—

(a) Yes. Preliminary investigations in connection with the several alternative sources of supply, viz., Arkavaty, Cauvery, Hemavathi and Shimsha have been completed and rough estimates of cost worked out. The *Ad-hoc* Committee appointed by Government to examine these alternative schemes and to report to Government have just concluded its deliberations and its report is awaited.

(b) The alternatives are still under consideration.

(c) The question of inclusion of such a scheme in the Third Five-Year Plan will be considered after the report of the *Ad-hoc* Committee is received.

(d) Does not arise in view of reply to clause (c).

Mr. SPEAKER.—This question has been completely answered. It need not be answered again. Under the Rules one

is prohibited from putting questions as it has been fully answered.

Sri V. P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU.—I do not refer to anything that is answered.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I will read the Rules. If this question has been answered in substance, you are barred. I will quote the Rule also.

Sri V. P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU.—If I ask any question which has been already answered, the Hon'ble Speaker can rule it out.

Mr. SPEAKER.—There was a question which has been fully answered. I know it for certain. I think it was in the second or the third list.

It had been answered in full and in substance. The Hon'ble Member will bear with me when I say that I know for certain that it has been answered substantially. Therefore I go to the next question.

Sri V. P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU.—Let me make it clear. The previous question was held up.

Mr. SPEAKER.—All the supplementaries were in accordance with this. The report and everything was there.

Sri V. P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU.—That was with regard to a sub-committee.

Mr. SPEAKER.—(Addressing Sri Deenadayalu Naidu) Well, we will do like this. I will hold over the question. I know for certain that it has been answered. If you convince me that it is otherwise, we will take it up tomorrow.

Construction of Bridge across Gangolli River in South Kanara District.

*Q.—66. Sri V. SRINIVASA SHETTY (Coondapur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) whether the final estimate has been prepared for the construction of a bridge across the Gangolli river in South Kanara and if so for how much ;

(b) whether technical and administrative sanctions have been accorded to the estimate ;

(c) whether the estimates for the construction of the approach roads have been prepared and technical and administrative sanctions obtained for the same ;

(d) when they will call for tenders ?

A.—Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA (Minister for Public Works and Electricity).—

(a) Yes, for Rs. 123 lakhs including approaches.

(b) Yes, by the Government of India for the bridge proper excluding approaches and minor cross drainage works.

(c) The estimate for approaches is being considered by the Government of India.

(d) Tenders would be called for after the estimate is administratively approved.

Sri V. SRINIVASA SHETTY.—To clause (d), the answer is that "tenders would be called for after the estimate is administratively approved." When the Government of India are the real agents to finance the thing, what is the difficulty in calling for tenders immediately ?

†**Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.**—It is an administrative procedure which has to be adopted by the State of Mysore. There will be no difficulty ; we will authorise calling for tenders immediately.

Sri V. SRINIVASA SHETTY.—What is the time required ?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—When I say that Government is anxious to put it through, I do not think there is any need for further interpellations.

Public Works Department Investigation Circle at Tumkur.

*Q.—112. **Sri T. TARE GOWDA** (Sira).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) when the P.W.D. Investigation Circle was opened in Tumkur District ;

(b) whether they have started work and what is the survey and investigation conducted by them ;

(c) the results and recommendations of their investigations and what are new tanks, pickups, channels, etc., they have proposed for sanction and construction to the concerned higher authorities ?

A.—Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA (Minister for Public Works and Electricity).—

(a) No Investigation Circle is opened in Tumkur District.

(b) and (c) Do not arise in view of reply to clause (a).

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ತಾರೇಗೌಡ.—ತುಮಕೂರು ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವ ಸರ್ವೇಯನ್ನೂ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದವೆ ?

Sri M. N. NAGHNOOR.—The investigation work is going on with good progress and a number of bridges and pick-ups have been repaired already.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ತಾರೇಗೌಡ.—ತುಮಕೂರು ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟಿರುವುದರಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವುದನ್ನಾದರೂ ಹೇಳಬಲ್ಲರಾ ?

Sri M. N. NAGHNOOR.—It is a very big list. I can only say in short that projects worth about Rs. 44 lakhs to benefit 3,362 acres have been repaired so far.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ತಾರೇಗೌಡ.—ಈ ಲಿಸ್ಟನ್ನು ಸಭೆಯ ಮುಂದೆ ಇಡಲಾಗುವುದೆ ?

Sri M. N. NAGHNOOR.—The Hon'ble Member can see that.

Construction of Causeway for Hagari on H.K.K.S.T. Road.

*Q.—115. **Sri M. M. J. SADYO-JATHAPPAIAH** (Harapanaballi).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) whether a temporary causeway for Hagari on H.K.K.S.T. road in 11/5 mile was constructed ;

(b) if so, whether R.C.C. posts were posited ;

(c) whether it is a fact that estimate was provided to use 30 lbs. of steel for each post ;

(d) whether it is not a fact that the contractor has used only 5 lbs. of steel to those posts instead of 30 pounds ;